**Proposal’s title**

*Please use an* ***easily readable*** *document layout: A4 pages, Calibri 11 or equivalent, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages ; for figure and table, minimum Calibri 9 or equivalent.* ***The text in grey is to be deleted.***

***The project proposal description cannot exceed a 35-page limit, must be******submitted in a PDF format****.* ***No annexes allowed****.*

***The composition as follows:***

* ***20 pages for*** *scientific description (including summary table of persons involved in the project, Gantt chart, overview of the implication of scientific leaders in on-going projects, overview of the requested funds AND their scientific justification)*
* ***15 pages for*** *CVs of the scientific coordinator and any partners’ scientific leaders, and bibliography)*

*As the evaluation could be carried out by non-French speaking researchers, we recommend that you write both your scientific document and the CVs* ***in English****.*

*Proposals must* ***fulfil the three main evaluation criteria on the ANR side: “Quality and scientific aim”, “Organisation and implementation of the project” and “Impact and benefits of the project”, and the four main evaluation criteria on the NRF side: «Research/Institute Capability”, “Research Plan”, “International Collaboration” and “Expected Impact”.*** *Applicants are advised to consult the call text further information about the different sub-criteria related to each.*

**Summary table of persons involved in the project:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Country** | **University or Institution** | **Last Name** | **First Name** | **Current position** | **Role in the project** | **Involvement****(person.months)** |
|  |  |  |  | *Professor* | *Scientific coordinator**Tasks X, Y, Z* | *18* |
|  |  |  |  | *PostDoc to be hired in the frame of the project* |  |  |

# Proposal’s context, positioning and objective(s)

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criteria “Quality and scientific aim” on the ANR side and “Research Plan” on the NRF side, cf. sub-criteria in the* *call* text**

## Objectives and research hypothesis

*Present the objectives and the research hypothesis; present the scientific and technical barriers to be lifted; present the expected results; if applicable describe any final products developed.*

*Present the added value of the project in terms of scientific contribution, whether in terms of object, problem and methodological approach, and in terms of targeted knowledge production.*

## Position of the project as it relates to the state of the art

*Emphasise the originality of the project - concerning its objectives and its methodology – and its position in relation to the state of the art; show the contributions of the project partners to this state of the art; present any preliminary results. In the case of a project proposal following up on previous project(s) already funded by ANR or by another body, provide a summary of the results achieved and clearly describe the new issues raised and the new objectives set out in the light of the earlier project.*

## Methodology and risk management

*Describe precisely the methodology and its relevance to reach the objectives; detail the scientific risks and fallback solutions envisaged; set out the scientific programme and justify the work programme's task breakdown with regard to the objectives being pursued. It is mandatory for applicants to provide the scientific contribution of the French and Korean teams.*

* *For each task, describe the objectives, the work programme, deliverables, partners' contributions, methods and technical decisions, risks, and fall-back solutions (among other examples: difficulty to access study site, lack of preliminary data, delay in obtaining approval from the ethics committee, etc.). Illustrate with a Gantt chart.*
* *Justify the relevance of the methodology in terms of ethics, scientific integrity and social responsibility – and as such, taking into account or not the sex and/or gender aspect -, including the disciplinary coverage (mono- trans- and inter-disciplinarity).*

*The methodology also includes Open Science practices, namely: data management, reuse of existing data sets, development or contribution to open source software and / or standards, and adopting permanent identifiers for all research products*

## Ability of the project to address the research issues covered by the chosen research theme

*Ability of the project to address the research issues covered by the chosen scientific theme (cf. call text).*

# Organisation and implementation of the project

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criteria “Organisation and implementation of the project” on the ANR side and “Researcher/Institute Capability” on the NRF side, cf. sub-criteria in the* call text**

## Scientific coordinator and its consortium / its team

*Present the scientific coordinator, his/her experience in the scientific field (including the Korean scientific coordinator), his/her involvement rate.*

*Present the partners and their complementarity to reach the goals: demonstrate the quality and complementary nature of the consortium specifying the identity of the scientists involved and their institution, their rate of implication, and all other items providing a framework for judging the quality, complementarity of partners and consortia and the effectiveness of the collaboration.*

*Complete the following table including information concerning the involvement of the scientific coordinator and partner’s scientific leader in regional, national and international on-going projects[[1]](#footnote-1).*

**Implication of the scientific coordinator and partner’s scientific leader in on-going project(s)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name of the researcher | Person.month | Call, funding agency, grant allocated | Project’s title | Name of the scientific coordinator | Start - End |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Implemented and requested resources to reach the objectives

*Describe the means – those previously available and those requested – to achieve the objectives.*

* ***Scientific and technical justification of the requested means*** *– per item of expenditure and by partner -–,* ***linked to the objectives of the proposal****.*
* *Summarise the requested funds in the table below in accordance with the information filled in on the submission platform and with ANR’s grant allocation rules ([règlement relatif aux modalités d’attribution des aides de l’ANR](http://www.agence-nationale-recherche.fr/RF) ).*
* ***While detailing Korean partners contribution, use NRF’s grant allocation rules and budget categories***
* *Description of the context in terms of human and financial resources* ***available thanks to previous or ongoing projects, ongoing or future co-funding request****.*
* *If a partner is relying on its own funds, justify the available means to realise its tasks.*

**⚠***The sub-criteria “Appropriateness of implemented and requested resources to the project’s objectives” is as important as the other sub-criteria.* ***The reviewers will wait for a high level of detail in the calculation and its scientific justification.***

*It is mandatory for applicants to present the Korean scientific coordinator and foreign partners; as well as financial data, broken down by item of each expenditure by foreign partners.*

*Examples: What kind of contract for the temporary staff, duration, for which task? What kind of instrument, for which task, why buying instead of renting? What kind of mission (conferences, meeting, data collection, etc.), national / international, for how many people, how much time/how many times?*

**French Partners**

**Partner 1: XXXXX**

Staff expenses (in French*: “Frais de personnels”*)

*Costs linked to the researchers, engineers, technicians and other scientific staff affected to the project; in the case of a JCJC project: cost of partially releasing the young researcher from teaching duties.* ***Justification in relation to the scientific objectives.***

Instruments and material costs (in French*: “Instruments et matériels”*)

*Acquisition, depreciation or rental costs of instruments or material and the scientific consumables specifically used for the achievement of the project.* ***Justification in relation to the scientific objectives.***

Building and ground costs (in French*: “Batiments et terrains”*)

*Rental costs of new premises and lands or the fitting of premises or pre-existing lands for the use of the project.* ***Justification in relation to the scientific objectives.***

Outsourcing / subcontracting (in French*: « Prestations de service et droits de propriété intellectuelle »*)

*Acquisition costs of (1) Licences, patent, brand, software, database, copyrights etc.; (2) Subcontracting costs; for the achievement of the project.* ***Justification in relation to the scientific objectives.***

Overheads costs (in French*: “Frais généraux non-forfaitisés”*)

*Missions expenses and travel costs of the permanent and temporary staff affected to the project; conferences organisation costs. Other operating expenses.* ***Justification in relation to the scientific objectives.***

**Partner 2: XXXXX**

Staff expenses

Instruments and material costs

Building and ground costs

Outsourcing / subcontracting

Overheads costs

**Partner N: XXXXX**

Staff expenses

Instruments and material costs

Building and ground costs

Outsourcing / subcontracting

Overheads costs

**Korean Partners:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Korean Partner*****XXX*** |
| Labor costs |  |
| Student researcher stipends  |  |
| Research facility and equipment costs  |  |
| Research material costs  |  |
| Research activity costs  |  |
| Research incentives  |  |
| Subcontracted R&D project costs  |  |
| Indirect costs  |  |
| **Sub-total** |  |
| **Requested funding** |  |

***use NRF’s grant allocation rules and budget categories***

***Requested means by item of expenditure and by partner\****

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Partner*****XXX*** | **Partner*****XXX*** | **Partner*****XXX*** | **Partner*****XXX*** |
| Staff expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Instruments and material costs  |  |  |  |  |
| Building and ground costs |  |  |  |  |
| Outsourcing / subcontracting |  |  |  |  |
| Overheads costs |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative management & structure costs\*\* |  |  |  |  |
| **Sub-total** |  |  |  |  |
| **Requested funding** |  |

\* The amounts indicated here must be strictly identical to those entered on the website. If both information are not consistent, if they were badly filled in or lacking, **the information entered online will prevail on those reported in the scientific document**.

*\*\** For marginal cost beneficiaries, these costs will be a package of 13.5% of the eligible expenses. For full cost beneficiaries, these costs will be a sum of max. 68% of staff expenses and max. 7% of other expenses. These costs are not justified.

# Impact and benefits of the project

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criteria “Impact and benefits of the project” on the ANR side and “International Collaboration” and “Expected Impact” on the NRF side, cf. sub-criteria in the call text***

*Describe in what scientific fields and eventually economic, social or cultural field project results may have an impact, in the short, medium or long term.* *Detail the initiatives covering relations between science and society (e.g. media initiatives, participation at science festivals, etc.) jointly organised with professionals working in the fields of scientific, technical and industrial culture (i.e. mediators, journalists, etc.) and that will be held throughout the duration of the project and after completion.*

*Describe the strategy for disseminating and exploiting results, including potential initiatives promoting scientific, technical and industrial knowledge, highlight value added by French- Korean cooperation, and the contribution of this cooperation to the French scientific community and to the Korean scientific community.*

# References related to the project

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criteria « “Quality and scientific aim” on the ANR side and “Research Plan” on the NRF side, cf. sub-criteria (cf. the call text)***

*List the bibliographical references used for the proposal.*

*Please, fill in “usable” references, i.e. including the first co-authors, complete title, title of the journal, year, etc. If available, please complete these references (but not replace) by indicating the « open access » link to improve accessibility for the reviewers.*

*Preprints are allowed, especially those referencing preliminary data.*

*Impact factors are prohibited.*

***The bibliography must be included in the 35-page limit, i.e. within the 15 pages limit.***

***A successful submission does not only refer to the writing of a clear scientific document, but also to an early and consistent completion of*** [***the***](https://iris.anr.fr/fr/login) ***ANR submission platform. Please check, among other things, the following points:***

***□*** *Is the French / English title of my project clearly indicated in the dedicated field? Same for the French / English summary of my project? No typos in the title of my project? Does the title or abstract of my project not include any information that could hinder the subsequent patent submission?*

*□ Does the partnership described in the scientific document comply with the partnership filled in online: identity of the coordinator, the partner’s scientific leaders and main people involved in the project, including Korean partners?*

*□ Is the requested fund -justified in the scientific document- identical to the requested fund filled in on the submission platform? Is the fund requested from the DST justified in the scientific document identical to the fund requested filled in on the submission platform?*

*□ Is the online form complete at the closing date and time?*

*□ Does my scientific document respect the limit of 20 pages?? Does it meet the expectations of the evaluation criteria and sub-criteria? Have I uploaded the latest version of my scientific document on the submission platform?*

*□ Does the description of the CVs and bibliography respect the limit of 15 pages?*

*□ Are the CVs of the coordinator and the partner’s scientific leaders, including Korean partners, properly included to the scientific document?*

*□ Does the whole project description respect the limit of 35 pages? Is it in pdf format?*

*Projects are evaluated on the basis of the information as completed and submitted on the submission platform by the closing date and time, within the limit of 35 pages concerning the scientific document.* ***No changes may be made to the scientific document as deposited on the submission site after the closing date****.* ***No information other than that completed and filed by the closing date and time will be requested from the coordinators or searched in other sources of information.***

1. The ANR reserves the right to check this information. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)