

JPI CH Call for Proposals

Cultural Heritage, Ethics and Society

Deadline for submission of pre-proposals: 23 May 2022, 15:00 CEST

Deadline for submission of full proposals: 5 September 2022, 15:00 CEST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Call text	3
2. Call timetable	7
3. Eligibility	8
3.1. Eligibility of Research Applicants Requesting Funding	8
3.2. Eligibility of Applications	9
3.2.1. Eligibility at stage 1.....	9
3.2.2. Eligibility at stage 2.....	9
3.2.3. Project Structure and Roles of Project Partners	10
3.2.4. Associate Partners and Cooperation Partners	11
3.3. Eligible Costs (for Beneficiaries).....	11
4. Match-making (optional)	12
5. Pre-proposal structure and submission (stage 1)	13
6. Full proposal structure, assessment procedure and evaluation criteria (stage 2)	14
6.1. Application Form (.pdf).....	15
6.2. Budget Tables (.xls or .xlsx).....	18
6.3. Assessment procedure.....	18
6.4. Evaluation Criteria	19
6.5. Beneficiary withdrawal.....	20
6.6. Extensions	20
7. Additional Information	20
7.1. Data Management Plan.....	20
7.2. Open Access Publishing	20
7.3. San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment	21
7.4. Dissemination and Knowledge Transfer/Exchange	21
7.5. Annual Reporting and Follow Up	22

Published on: 9 March 2022

Edited on: 2 May 2022 (V3) – amended text relating to Project Leaders at page 10 (section 3.2.3)

15 April 2022 (V2) – added text relating to UEFISCDI participation at page 28 (Annex A)

8 June 2022 (V3) – UEFISCDI (Romania) is no longer amongst the funding organizations for this call

Annex A – National eligibility criteria	23
• Belgium	23
• Czech Republic.....	24
• France	25
• Lithuania	26
• Norway	27
• Spain	28
• The Kingdom of the Netherlands	31
• United Kingdom	32

1. Call text

Introduction

What is cultural heritage, how is it valued and how does it relate to ethics, that is to say the values on which human societies, whether past or contemporary, are founded? Current developments, in particular the increasing awareness of human-created environmental changes but also the growing pressure on societal coherence which challenges democratic processes, urge us to revisit the perception and the environmental, social, cultural and economic valuation of cultural heritage, and to investigate how we can better include multivocality and ethical considerations. Ethics, though frequently unseen or unnoticed, are key to the understanding and consideration humanity has of, and gives to, its cultural heritage in daily life and in physical, cultural and intellectual environments.

Crises do not only impact society in a negative way, they also offer windows of opportunities for societal reflection and resetting in more sustainable and ethical directions that answer the preoccupations and aspirations of the time. Undoubtedly, the Covid-19 pandemic has revealed how much cultural heritage is affected by these pressures and changes. It thus offers a laboratory and an incentive for studying risk-management of societies under stress, the role and use of cultural heritage and heritage narratives, including conflicting narratives, in such contexts may they be past or contemporary. It also offers the possibility to challenge unsustainable and unethical behaviours and path dependencies.

The aim of this call is to address two complementary themes. The first one explores the tensions between how cultural heritage can contribute to the development of sustainable experience-based economies without being put at risk and, concurrently, how the non-utilitarian value of cultural heritage can be promoted in the context of its growing commercialisation.

The second theme explores the relationship between cultural heritage, democratic values, and politics in a historical perspective, with a particular emphasis on the construction of conflicting narratives resulting from the use and misuse of cultural heritage, and on the contribution of cultural heritage to sustainable and ethical behaviours and policies.

Theme 1: Cultural heritage and economic development

In contemporary society, value is widely measured as economic value. Cultural heritage is consequently evaluated as means to or potential for development of economic values. Jobs can be created in the heritage sectors and their activity creates secondary values or activities in other sectors. There is a fast-growing awareness in the study of economics and in political discourse that the equation of value with economic output is reductionist and even hostile towards the widely accepted sustainability goals for social development. In this perspective, the role of cultural heritage as part of the social economy / an economy of values should be re-examined or revised. An important challenge is, therefore, how to secure sustainable cultural heritage management and simultaneously a sustainable economic development in the cultural heritage sector.

Cultural heritage is an important force in European economies. Social-economic analyses conservatively calculate a 1:3 ratio between direct and indirect value creation connected to cultural heritage experiences, such as visit to museums and heritage sites. Such observations make it important to question how experience-based economies (that is to say economies that engage individual customers in a way that creates a memorable event)

can be developed without putting cultural heritage objects, sites and cultural practices at risk. How can cultural heritage professionals and scholars strategically collaborate in order to protect fragile cultural heritage from over-exploitation and degradation by mass tourism in a context of growing experience-based economies? The role of research-based knowledge of cultural heritage as part of such processes is crucial, as is a broader consideration of the meaning of value of cultural heritage. How should decision-makers and public authorities work to ensure that the non-utilitarian value of cultural heritage and the knowledge production connected to it is promoted?

In such a setting, mass tourism, in particular, opens the way to a commodification of cultural heritage, treating it as a mere basic good. Usually, this process is criticized for making cultural heritage stereotypical and the past one-dimensional. What are the various consequences of this commodification process? Are all consequences necessarily bad?

The Covid-19 pandemic and the following social lockdowns have demonstrated the dependence on experience-based economies (such as tourism and cultural events) in Europe. The restrictions to limit the Covid-19 outbreak hampered experience-based economies considerably, simultaneously facilitating a rise in goods production and increased pressure on global resources and planetary health. Even though travelling can cause nuisance, particularly to the environment, there is a clear potential for this form of economic development to become a sustainable alternative to economies based on increased goods production. This raises the question how cultural heritage can be part of a sustainable experience-based economy. It also raises the question of to the perception and valuation of cultural heritage and its stakeholders. Are the boundaries between natural and cultural heritage shifting, opening to new stakeholders, such as non-humans? This also pertains to the role of experience-based economies as part of a dedicated strategy for heritage management which is sustainable and includes the participation of diverse communities.

The commercialisation of cultural heritage - such as historical architecture or the art market - often entails a shift in its valuation. Heritages that were once part of a broad social community are being gentrified, and becoming more exclusive. What are the driving forces in such evaluations? How is cultural heritage actually involved in such judgements? Are there parameters evident that enable us to understand why some elements of history become appreciated as heritage while other factors are ignored or forgotten? How does the economic perception of value affect these processes?

Researchers are invited to explore questions such as:

- A. What are the consequences (social/economic/political) of the tension between the individualisation of cultural heritage experiences and collective meaning-making?
- B. How is cultural heritage valued in contemporary societies? How could a sustainability approach contribute to increasing the value of cultural heritage in society? Is a "building back better" approach relevant for a cultural heritage policy?
- C. How should the trend towards the production of experiences be interpreted - what are the implications for the production of economic outputs?
- D. How have the pandemic, and societal measures in connection with it, impacted on the commodification of, and public access to, cultural heritage? How has the pandemic impacted upon the processes of digitisation/digitalisation, and what does this imply for a sustainability approach?

Theme 2: Cultural heritage and sustainable strategies

Cultural heritage is contextually positioned and recognised, and may have different meanings and importance depending on its reception and reproduction by societal past or present collectives and environments.

As a boundary-object, that is to say an entity linking communities while allowing for inclusion of different understandings and coordination without consensus, cultural heritage has a capacity to become a meeting place for diverse social collectives, thus enhancing social cohesion.

But cultural heritage is strongly linked to politics, albeit not necessarily used explicitly and intentionally. Cultural heritage may assume, or be required to assume, an official and less inclusive character, often nationally-defined and expert-driven. In some cases cultural heritage and historic outlets, collections or archives have been (mis)used to build biased and unfounded narratives for dis/misinformation purposes. However, this exclusive character of national narratives has been, and still is, contested and challenged: subaltern heritages are advanced by various, new social and community movements as important means for empowerment and both global and local cultural heritage movements stress the need and importance of multivocality.

Conflicting narratives may involve the co-opting, assimilation or even suppression of cultural heritage by those in power. The heritage of under-privileged groups can be re-directed and incorporated in national narratives through, for example, gentrification processes. Similarly, conflict and colonial or foreign occupation may lead to voluntary destruction of heritage or constitution of illicit collections. Such processes may open up the way for new approaches to heritage property and for new meanings to be attributed to cultural heritage - statues, for example, that acquire a new significance as political symbols- calling for increased ethical considerations to be taken into account.

Sustainability is often high on the political agendas, frequently expressed in terms of the UN Sustainability Goals. Yet, cultural heritage is seldom referred to as a resource for achieving sustainability. It could contribute substantial knowledge for devising sustainable development agendas, not least through providing historical experiences of the successful as well as unsuccessful management of crises, and how different strategies unevenly affected different groups of people and the environment. Through heritage-based knowledge and historical evidence, past and present unsustainable and unethical behaviours and path dependencies can be highlighted and challenged.

Researchers are invited to explore questions such as:

- A. How, and by whom, are narratives about cultural heritage produced, used, reproduced and communicated in different local / regional, national and international contexts? How can cultural heritage as multivocal meeting places be promoted? What is the role of cultural heritage in contesting anti-scientific / fake news?
- B. What are the consequences of nationally-driven cultural heritage policies for heritage management, subaltern heritage, community involvement and global responsibility? How can cultural heritage contribute to the promotion of humanistic values?
- C. How can cultural heritage help to inform decision-makers on sustainable and ethical strategies? What barriers, constraints or opportunities does cultural heritage face

in interacting with political agendas and political legitimacy in contemporary societies?

Applicants are invited to submit research proposals responding to either or both of the call themes.

Aims, Project Requirements and Evaluation Framework

The funding agencies of the JPI CH CHSE call support the principles of the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA) in this call. DORA is a global initiative to improve the assessment of research and researchers, with the primary goal of discouraging the use of exclusionary metrics that are used for journals and publishers. In embracing DORA, the funding agencies supporting the JPI CH CHSE call furthermore acknowledge that there is not one ideal type of researcher and that talent can mean a broad range of approaches in the context of scientific or scholarly research. In the JPI CH CHSE call the supporting funding agencies therefore enable assessment focused on quality and context. This has consequences for the application form and assessment procedure. For more information on the application form, see 6.1 Application form. For more information on DORA, see, below, 7.3 San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment.

The aims of the call are:

- To support well-defined, interdisciplinary and collaborative research projects of the highest quality and standards that will lead to significant advances in our understanding of cultural heritage across the broader research community and society.
- To maximise the value and impact of research outcomes by promoting knowledge exchange, interactions, partnerships and inclusive engagement between cultural heritage researchers, individuals and organizations outside the immediate research community at international and local level, to include policy and decision makers, public authorities, businesses and commercial enterprises, operational and rescue bodies, the broader heritage sector, voluntary and community groups and the general public.
- To encourage the participation of Early Career Researchers.
- To encourage the implementation and transmission of research outcomes and to communicate them and the knowledge acquired among researchers and stakeholder sectors.

Cultural heritage is a complex area requiring an interdisciplinary approach and productive collaborations between partners from different countries. Proposals will be expected to integrate and capitalize existing knowledge from a variety of fields of research in order to move towards truly interdisciplinary studies on cultural heritage, society and ethics. It is acceptable for a project to address issues from either or both of the research topics described above to address the broader challenges affecting cultural heritage. Research applicants are encouraged to include in their projects researchers from a range of areas and fields of research rarely associated with research on cultural heritage. They are also encouraged to highlight the knowledge chain, by including diverse stakeholders and end-users in order to foster an implementation-orientated approach, such as cultural organizations, public authorities, community groups and policy-/decision-makers.

Potential/expected outputs, outcomes and impacts

Outputs are the most direct and immediate results of research. Outcomes refer to what the project will enable for project participants and other beneficiaries (target groups, users) in terms of changes in competency, conduct, practice and policy. Impacts refer to long-term changes in society to be brought about by project's results and outcomes.

Describe how project activities presented in the dissemination plan and complementary activities outside the project will help to realise these outputs, outcomes and impacts.

Outputs could include traditional academic outputs such as conferences, workshops, and other knowledge transfer activities. They can also include policy recommendations and educational resources, or take the form of exhibitions, debates, films, broadcasts, websites, etc.

Outcomes could be constructed through co-curated projects and collaborations between academia, the GLAM sector (Galleries, Libraries, Archives, Museums), policy makers, cultural heritage organisations or other cultural heritage stakeholders, including different communities across locations, nations, and cultures.

2. Call timetable

This call will consist in two stages as described below. Applications received after the below deadlines will not be allowed into the evaluation and selection process.

Stage 1: Registration, match-making and pre-proposal submission

Stage 1 aims at allowing research applicants 1) to build or consolidate their research consortium through match-making activities organized by the call secretariat (optional), and 2) to submit a pre-proposal (compulsory). Further details are provided at **3. Match-making (optional)**.

Prospective research applicants **must submit a pre-proposal to be able to submit a full proposal at stage 2**. The pre-proposal submission portal, hosted on ANR's grant management system (SIM), will **open on 1 March 2022, 15:00 CET (Central European Time)**. The deadline for submitting pre-proposals is **23 May 2022, 15:00 CEST**.

Please note that there is **no scientific assessment of the pre-proposal**. However, there will be a light eligibility check done by the call secretariat at general level and by each funding organization at national level. The call secretariat will check that each project involves at least three Principal Investigators from three different participating countries and at least one Associate Partner, and that the required documents (scientific document and letters of commitment from the Principal Investigators, see *3.2.1 Eligibility at stage 1*) have been submitted on the ANR's grant management system (SIM). Each funding organization will check the eligibility of their own Principal Leaders and Principal Investigators.

Stage 2: Full proposal submission

Project leaders and their consortia develop and submit their research proposals.

The full proposal submission portal, hosted on ANR's grant management system (SIM), will open **on 7 June 2022, 15:00 CEST**. The deadline for submitting full research proposals is **5 September 2022, 15:00 CEST**. The hyperlink for submitting full proposals will be provided to eligible applicants upon closing of stage 1.

Further information about the call can be found on the JPI CH – CHSE call dedicated webpage on the Heritage Research Hub: <https://www.heritageresearch-hub.eu/funding/cultural-heritage-society-and-ethics-joint-call-2022/>

Procedure	Schedule
Stage 1: match-making and pre-proposal submission	
Registration for match-making activities (optional)	1 March
Pre-proposal submission portal opens	1 March
Online information event (open to those who registered). This online event will be repeated if necessary	8 March
Match-making platform is accessible to registered participants	8 March – 23 May 2022
Deadline for submitting pre-proposals	23 May 2022
General and national eligibility checks	23 May – 3 June 2022
General and national eligibility outcome notification	7 June 2022
Stage 2: full proposal submission	
Full proposal submission portal opens	7 June 2022
Deadline for submitting full proposals	5 September 2022
General and national eligibility checks	5 – 19 September 2022
Eligibility outcome notification	20 September 2022
Evaluation by Independent International Assessment Panel	29 September – 28 October 2022
Independent International Assessment Panel meeting	2 – 4 November 2022
Funding decision	7 November 2022
Outcome notification to Project Leaders	13 November 2022
Funded projects start	December 2022 - May 2023

3. Eligibility

The language of the call is English and the applications must be written in English.

For this call each individual agency's eligibility rules apply to the research applicants requesting funding from that agency. Prior to submitting the pre-proposal, research applicants must check the eligibility requirements for each agency, which can be found in Annex A, along with contact details for agencies in each country.

3.1. Eligibility of Research Applicants Requesting Funding

In these guidelines, the term 'beneficiaries' refers to a research team consisting of one or more researchers involved in gathering data, information and facts for the advancement of knowledge provided that they request funding and are eligible according to their agency's National Eligibility Requirements (Annex A).

Note that the actual eligibility can differ per country. Research teams and researchers who are not eligible for, or do not request funding (including from third countries) may still participate in proposals as Associate Partners (see *3.2.4 Associate Partners and Cooperation Partners*).

Please ensure that all beneficiaries are eligible according to their National Eligibility Requirements, otherwise the whole application may be rejected. If you are not sure to meet the eligibility criteria, please contact your national agency, whose contact details can be found at Annex A.

Only beneficiaries eligible according to the rules of the national funding agencies participating in the JPI CH CHSE call are eligible to apply, irrespective of their nationality. These are:

- Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France)
- Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI, Spain)
- Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC, United Kingdom)
- Belgian Science Policy Office (BELSPO, Belgium)
- Dutch Research Council (NWO, The Kingdom of the Netherlands)
- Lietuvos mokslo taryba (LMT, Lithuania)
- Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MŠMT, Czech Republic)
- The Research Council of Norway (RCN, Norway)

3.2. Eligibility of Applications

3.2.1. Eligibility at stage 1

Upon submission of the pre-proposal at stage 1, please note that:

- Each proposal must **demonstrate the involvement of at least three eligible Principle Investigators** each one based in an eligible institution in a different country participating in the call, by submitting letters of commitment together with the scientific document on the grant management system (SIM). Please note that the maximum number of national research teams or legal entities to be funded in a transnational project consortium is five – in any combination of three, four or five countries.
- Each member of a research team requesting funding must be based at an eligible institution and be considered eligible for funding by the funding agency of the country where they are applying and/or fall within the relevant funding agency's remit. **Please adhere to the National Eligibility Requirements for the funding agency you are applying to (Annex A).**
- **There also must be at least one Associate Partner (AP) in a JPI CH CHSE project.** Please note that at stage 1, Project Leaders are required to provide an overview of the contributions that APs will make to the research project in the scientific document. At stage 2, APs must provide letters of commitment clearly describing their involvement in the project and setting out their financial or in-kind contribution. Please see *3.2.4 Associate Partners and Cooperation Partners* for further details.

3.2.2. Eligibility at stage 2

At stage 2, the eligibility requirements are that:

- All proposals, including compulsory documents such as the budget and letters of commitment (by the Associate Partners or Cooperation Partners – see section 3.2.4 *Association Partners and Cooperation Partners*), must be submitted:
 - In English;
 - Complete and according to all required format;
 - **by 5 September 15.00 CEST** on ANR's Grant management system (SIM).
- The proposal must be submitted by the Project Leader.
- The proposal must consist of one PDF file (application form including commitment letters) and an Excel file containing the budget tables, plus any documents required under national eligibility. The files should not be password-protected and the size of each file should not exceed 50 MB. If the file size is not sufficient (only applicable in case of a large number of letters of commitment), please inform the call secretariat (ANR) and upload additional letters of commitment in a separate PDF.
- The composition of research projects' consortiums (Project Leader and Principal Investigators) cannot change between stage 1 and stage 2.

Please note that some Funding Organizations require that research applicants must also submit the proposal to the relevant national Funding Organization(s) (see Annex A for detailed instructions).

The criteria regarding eligible PIs, national research teams or legal entities and Associate Partners are the same as for stage 1.

Projects must last for a **minimum period of 24 months** and not exceed **36 months** in duration. Projects must start **within 6 months after the funding decision**. All research teams within a project consortium must agree on a common starting date of the project. The latest starting date is 31 May 2023.

3.2.3. Project Structure and Roles of Project Partners

- **Project Leaders (PL)** are responsible for carrying out and managing the project, in addition to their project related research. PLs must be researchers with solid experience of managing collaborative research projects. They will be the contact person for the JPI CH CHSE call secretariat (ANR) throughout the application process and will be responsible for communication with the other partners in the project. A researcher who applies as a PL in a project can only apply as a PI in another project (except for research applicants based in Spain or in Lithuania who can only be involved in one proposal). A researcher cannot apply to be PL in two or more projects. PLs who do not comply to this rule will see all applications they are involved in as PL/PI rejected immediately. Finally, the PL is responsible for the academic agenda and coherence of the project as well as for all reporting duties.
- **The Principal Investigators (PIs)** are responsible for leading research activities at their institution. The PIs are also responsible for the administrative and financial management of funds that will be transferred to their host institution. Other researchers (senior researchers, post-doctoral researchers) can be part of the **PI's research team (legal entity)** – please read the National Eligibility Requirements for each agency (**Annex A**). There can be only one PI per country. If appropriate and allowed under national funding regulations, the PI can collaborate and make subcontracts with other institutions from the same country.

- Except for Spanish and Lithuanian researchers who can only be involved in one proposal as PIs, an applicant may be **involved in a maximum of two JPI CH CHSE proposals as Principal Investigator**. A PI is only allowed to be involved in a second proposal provided the following conditions are met:
 - The PI needs to inform their PLs if they are involved in more than one proposal.
 - The total number of hours the PI participates in the submitted proposals must be lower than the number of hours they can commit.
- Researchers cannot apply to be PI in three or more projects. PIs who do not comply to this rule will see all applications they are involved in as PI rejected immediately.
- The project consortium must demonstrate sufficient research capacity to achieve the project objectives and the management of the consortium must be outlined and explained.

3.2.4. Associate Partners and Cooperation Partners

- **There must be at least one AP in a JPI CH CHSE** project. APs are stakeholders (e.g. industry, NGOs, policymakers etc.). APs are an important and significant added value, strengthen impact and societal support and add valuable insights to the project. They can contribute to a project in an advisory and collaborative capacity to help explore the knowledge transfer/exchange potential and impact of the proposed research. These partners however should not have a leading role in the research activities. Their participation is not eligible for funding unless stated otherwise in National Eligibility Requirements (see Annex A). No CVs may be submitted for Associate Partners. The number of APs is not limited.
- **In addition, researchers from non-JPI CH CHSE** participating countries may be included as **Cooperation Partners (CPs)** – this entirely optional and does not constitute an eligibility criteria as for APs' involvement. CPs may contribute to the research projects, either in-kind or financially, and their role can be described in the Description of Work. However, their participation is not eligible for funding unless stated otherwise in the National Eligibility Requirements. The number of CPs is not limited.
- The contribution of APs and CPs (if any) to the project should be outlined in the application. APs' and CPs' CVs are not requested.

Please note that **letters of commitments from Associate Partners (APs) and from Cooperation Partners (CPs) must be submitted at stage 2**. Please see **section 6.1 Application form, Part E, Letters of commitment** for further details about the requested format and information for APs' and CPs' letters of commitment.

3.3. Eligible Costs (for Beneficiaries)

Please adhere to all relevant eligibility requirements. Please note that **budget tables will be submitted together with the full proposal at stage 2**. No financial information shall be included in the pre-proposal submitted at stage 1.

- Both the standardized national budget template (Budget table A) and the total budget template (Budget table B) are available on the [JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage](#). Both templates need to be filled out in Euros.
- The budget for each research team in the project consortium must be eligible according to the National Eligibility Requirements of the agency which it seeks funding from.

- Research teams can apply for funding up to the relevant **national project funding budget limit** given in the summary of the National Eligibility Requirements at the end of this call text and in Annex A.
- The requested budgets of each research team in a project consortium must be specified in the relevant **national budget template**. Please specify the total budget requested per research team, the amount of funding requested from the national funding agency and the possible additional funding and the source by which it is provided. Note that some funders require the national budget to be provided in their national currency. Also note that some agencies like ANR are requesting applicants to produce their national budget form from their own national submission portal. Please consult the relevant national eligibility requirements in Annex A for further details.
- The project consortium as a whole must fill out the **total budget template in Euros** in which all budgets of the national research teams are aggregated. Additional funding must also be specified.
- A detailed budget justification is required. Applicants are also required to explain the source of any additional funding.
- Eligible costs will generally include direct costs such as employment costs, equipment, travel and meeting costs, consumables, dissemination and knowledge transfer costs. Countries differ in the criteria for eligible costs so please read the National Eligibility Requirements in Annex A to verify the eligibility of specific budget items according to the rules of the countries participating in the project.
- The budget must include the cost of at least one European trip per PI to present the project in a JPICH organized event, to be held during the course of the project.
- Countries differ in the available support for non-academic/government/industry partners – please check the National Eligibility Requirements for each country in Annex A.
- Beneficiaries of JPI CH CHSE call funding must use Open Access publishing wherever possible (see section 7.2 *Open Access Publishing*). If publishing under ‘author pays’ model is foreseen during the application phase, the related costs may be included in the budget. Costs must be realistic, justified/substantiated and eligible according to the relevant National Eligibility Requirements.

4. Match-making (optional)

The match-making activities consist in an **online information event** and an **online match-making tool**, which both aim at supporting prospective research applicants in building or consolidating strong transnational and transdisciplinary research consortia that meet the general eligibility requirements for this call. It also aims at fostering networking, particularly for Early Career Researchers, and complementarity of proposals in order to avoid duplication of proposals.

All prospective research applicants will be invited to register for an online information event and to join the JPI CH CHSE call match-making platform, hosted on Wix. For further details, please visit: <https://www.heritageresearch-hub.eu/funding/cultural-heritage-society-and-ethics-joint-call-2022/>

The **online information event hosted by the JPI CH CHSE call secretariat** will set out the background, objectives and timeline of the call and provide guidance as to how registered research applicants should make use of the online match-making platform.

The **match-making platform** will enable prospective research applicants to consult each other's profiles and research interests, identify common areas of interest and forge connections in order to constitute or consolidate strong transnational and transdisciplinary research consortia. **Early Career Researchers are particularly welcome** to join the match-making platform, as well as **any prospective research applicants looking for further disciplinary or national diversity** in their research consortia in order to meet the general eligibility requirements for this call (see 3. Eligibility).

The match-making platform will be open **from early March until the end of 2023**. All data from the match-making platform will be stored and disposed of safely, according to the EU GDPR.

Please note that registering and participating in match-making activities is **strongly encouraged, but not compulsory**. Thus, prospective research applicants who have already formed an eligible research consortium and/or do not wish to share their personal information (including research interests) with other applicants can submit a pre-proposal without registering and using the match-making platform. **However, all prospective research applicants must submit a pre-proposal to be able to submit a full proposal at stage 2** (see 2. Call timetable for further details).

All information collected will be processed, used and stored safely, according to the Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation, GDPR) (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj>).

5. Pre-proposal structure and submission (stage 1)

At stage 1, research applicants are expected to **submit a pre-proposal on ANR's grant management system (SIM) by 15.00 CEST on 23 May 2022**. Applications must be submitted on SIM at this link:

<https://aap.agencerecherche.fr/layouts/15/SIM/Pages/SIMNouveauProjet.aspx?idAAP=1787#>

Applicants must submit the pre-proposal as a single PDF file, based on the "stage 1 – application form" template available on the [JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage](#). **No other annexes are allowed**. The pre-proposal must consist of:

- 1) A scientific document (maximum 4 A4 pages, font Calibri 11 only, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages) setting out the project's objectives, consortium and references – see below in this section for further details.
- 2) Letters of commitment from at least three eligible Principle Investigators, each based in an eligible institution in a different country participating in the call (see section 3.2.4 for further details). These letters must include contact details of the PIs.

Please note that there is no scientific assessment of the pre-proposal at stage 1, but that only those who have submitted the above documentation, complete and in the prescribed format (see below), via ANR grant management system (SIM) by 23 May 2022, 15:00 CEST, will be invited to submit a full proposal at stage 2.

The **scientific document of the pre-proposal** must be in PDF format and comply with the following criteria: maximum four A4 pages, Calibri 11 or equivalent, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages. It must consist in three sections, as follows, and include a description of the:

1. Pre-proposal's context, positioning and objective(s)

- Project's objectives and research hypotheses;
- Position of the project with regards to the state of the art;
- Proposed methodology for reaching the scientific objectives; consideration of the interdisciplinarity / transdisciplinarity of the project in the chosen methodology;
- Innovative and / or ambitious nature of the project, originality of the objectives and of the methodology;
- Ability of the project to address the research issues covered by either or both of the JPI CH CHSE themes;
- No budget information to be included at this stage.

2. Partnership (consortium or team)

- The Project Leader's experience and expertise within their scientific areas of expertise and as project coordinator;
- The consortium, including an overview of the Principal Investigators' experience and expertise, as well as any other information which demonstrate the complementarity, relevance and effectiveness of the partnership.
- The Associate Partner(s)' experience and expertise, and its role in the overall project. Please see section 3.2.4 for further details on Associate Partners' eligibility for funding.
- [If any], the Cooperation Partner(s)' experience and expertise, and its role in the overall project. Please see section 3.2.4 for further details on Associate Partners' eligibility for funding.

3. References related to the project

- Bibliographical references used for the pre-proposal.

References must be complete, i.e. including all the co-authors, complete title, title of the journal, etc. If available, research applicants should provide the « open access » link to improve accessibility for the reviewers. Preprints are allowed, especially those referencing preliminary data. Impact factors are prohibited. Bibliography is included in the "limit of 4 pages".

6. Full proposal structure, assessment procedure and evaluation criteria (stage 2)

All proposals should be structured following the guidelines below. All word limits are inclusive of all text, tables (excluding budget tables), references, diagrams, and pictures.

The proposal application consists of two mandatory documents:

1. The application form, including summary, the Description of Work and associated information (.pdf only).
2. Excel file with basic project information and budget tables.

All files should follow the format prescribed below. No other files are permitted, except for any additional documents required under national eligibility or a document containing additional letters of commitment in case the application file size exceeds 50 Mo. Guidelines for completing the files and submitting the application are provided below.

The application form for proposals and the obligatory budget templates must be downloaded from the [JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage](#). Applicants must also

check their funding agency's national eligibility requirements and, if necessary, download from their agency's website any further obligatory documents.

6.1.Application Form (.pdf)

The form will ask for:

- Basic details such as the names and institutions of the applicants, contact details of the Project Leader, the project title and acronym;
- A publishable summary of the project (Section I);
- The full Description of Work (Section II: parts A-E).

Publishable Project Summary (Section I)

The abstract in the summary should be no more than 300 words and provide:

- A brief context for the proposed research;
- The aims and objectives of the project;
- The relevance to the JPI CH CHSE aims and research topics;
- Expected outcomes/impacts of the JPI CH CHSE project;
- A maximum of **five** free-text keywords.

The summary will be published if the application is successful. Please ensure that no confidential or sensitive information is included. Further, it needs to be written in a way that is understandable to a general audience.

The Description of Work and Associated Information (Section II)

All pages in this section must be numbered. **An application without a Description of Work will not be accepted.** The Description of Work should be a self-contained description of the proposed research. **It should not exceed the word limits provided below (all limits are inclusive of all text, tables (excluding the budget tables), references, diagrams and pictures).** The font size allowed is 11 Calibri only. All margins should be 2cm, not including footers or headers. The **use of hyperlinks is prohibited in all sections.** The word counts include all text including – but not limited to – references, footnotes, text in figures, figure captions and tables.

The Description of Work should be structured as follows:

Part A – Description of Research (5,000 words max.)

A1 Concept, and research questions and objectives of the JPI CH CHSE project and how it fits the call specification.

A2 Research context and how the proposed project is innovative, timely and important.

A3 Research design and methodology and parameters, including interdisciplinary approach.

A4 Work plan, detailed timeline and milestones.

A5 Short bibliography supporting the research case.

Part B – Description of Impact Pathway Approach (2,500 words max.)

B1 Description of the deliverables/outputs of the project. Outputs are the most direct and immediate insights resulting from your research, falling under the direct span of control of the project.

B2 Description of its potential value for target groups such as researchers, cultural heritage management, non-academic stakeholders and society, including SMEs, heritage owners, public administrations, research partners and local communities.

Description of the potential and/or desired scholarly, cultural, societal, environmental and/or economical outcome or impact of the project. Outcome relates to potential changes in behavior of partners and stakeholders that can result from the uptake of outputs. Description of the involvement and contributions of APs in facilitating the uptake. Uptake by early adopters may be part of the project, but is not mandatory.

Uptake by next and final users that results in adopter-level changes needed to achieve the intended impact, fall outside the direct span of control of the project and may be included, but is not mandatory.

B3 Description of the outlines of the intended impact. Impact is defined as the 'big picture' changes in institutional, environmental, financial, technical and social conditions that the project is working towards.

Indicate which steps will need to be taken during and after the project to ensure that the results are actually used, who the most important knowledge users will be and how they will be engaged. How does this relate to the breakthroughs to be achieved?

Show how the activities within the project will contribute to encouraging collaboration, co-creation and knowledge sharing within the knowledge chain, but also between the knowledge chain and societal partners.

Indicate the extent to which there is an active role for relevant target groups and broader society in translating the results into action.

Part C – Description of Implementation and Management (2,500 words max.)

C1 Description of the JPI CH CHSE project management structure and procedures, and management of copyright, intellectual property, ethical issues¹ and research integrity².

C2 Description of the relevant expertise and experience of the individual participants (including experience of coordinating research across national boundaries).

C3 Description of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, balance between disciplines, level of staffing, plans for effective collaboration), including other stakeholders. Active involvement of stakeholders in developing the research questions and carrying out

¹ In accordance with national requirements research teams are responsible for ensuring that ethical issues relating to the research project are identified and brought to the attention of the relevant approval or regulatory body in their respective countries. Ethical issues should be interpreted broadly and may encompass, among other things, relevant codes of practice, the involvement of human participants, tissue or data in research, the use of animals, research that may result in damage to the environment and the use of sensitive economic, social or personal data.

² When preparing a proposal and carrying out a research project applicants are expected to adhere to rules of good research practice as outlined in The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (<http://www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/ALLEAEuropean-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017-1.pdf>). The principles of integrity include, among others, fairness in providing references, giving credit, honesty in communication and impartiality and independence.

the project is encouraged. Describe how the consortium takes aspects of diversity into account.

C4 Allocation and justification of the resources to be committed along with a justification of the distribution of costs across the PIs and of the overall requested budget, including additional external funding (if applicable). Copy the budget tables you have prepared in the Excel file into your proposal.

C4.1 Detailed budget for each PI's activities per year: budget table A followed by the justification of the requested budget.

C4.2 Overall budget for the whole duration of the project: budget table B followed by the justification of the distribution of costs across the PIs.

C4.3 If applicable, a description of own/additional funding should be given, including the (in-kind) contribution(s) of the Associate Partner(s), complementing the JPI CH CHSE requested funding (obligatory for some funding agencies, please consult the National Eligibility Requirements).

The justification of costs should be added here under the tables.

Part D – Curriculum Vitae

Please include CVs for the Project Leader and all Principal Investigators (max. 2 A4 pages. The font size allowed is 11 Calibri only. All margins should be 2 cm, not including footers or headers per PL/PI). Each CV may include a bibliography up to 5 relevant outputs (including, but not limited to, publications).

D1 Academic profile (max. 400 words per PL/PI) in English for the Project Leader and all Principal Investigators. Provide a comprehensive description of academic profiles in narrative form. Each CV must include the complete first name and complete surnames of the PL or PI.

Please note that the focus is not on publications nor on output indicators, as output can be addressed in section D2. Do not include H-indexes, impact factors, or any type of metric that refers to journal or publisher impact.

D2 Key output (max. 5 items per PL/PI. max. 400 words per PL/PI, excluding output titles and references to the output) for the Project Leader and all Principal Investigators during the last 5 years. Do not mention H-indexes, impact factors, or any type of metric that refers to the journal, publisher, or publication platform, rather than to the individual output item; the scientific content of a paper is much more important than publication metrics or the identity of the journal in which it was published. A broad range of impact measures directly related to the output item may be considered, including qualitative indicators of research impact, such as influence on policy and practice. Applicants are encouraged to provide context for each motivation to support the assessment.

D3 Impact (academic and societal) (max. 400 words per PL/PI).

The word count includes all text used in Part D, except the output titles and references to the output items.

Part E – Letters of Commitment

The contribution of APs and CPs to the project should be outlined in the application, **and a signed and scanned letter of commitment (max. one side of A4 each, in English)**

submitted at stage 2, based on the template available on the [JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage](#).

Letters of commitment from each of the Associate Partners (APs) are compulsory. As involvement of at least one AP is mandatory, an electronic copy of at least one signed and scanned letter of commitment of the involved AP's **must** be included. The letter should explain the AP's interest and role in the JPI CH CHSE project and make explicit the nature of the AP's commitment to the JPI CH CHSE activities. They should either specify the amount of funding (in euros) that they are willing to contribute or specify the costs of their in-kind contribution. In the letter, the AP is also expected to state the source of funding for its part in the project.

Please note: some funding agencies may request a letter of approval or commitment from a host institution (e.g. NWO). These are also to be included in the final proposal. **Please adhere to the National Eligibility Requirements for your intended funding agency (Annex A).**

6.2. Budget Tables (.xls or .xlsx)

Please provide details of the budget for the project using the relevant JPI CH CHSE budget templates which are provided on the [JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage](#). Additional information, other than requested on the worksheets in the template(s) will not be taken into account.

The budget table file should include:

- The name and affiliation of the PL and PIs, their e-mail addresses and the funding organizations involved;
- The summary budget (overall, requested and additional funding (including in kind contributions)) for the JPI CH CHSE project for the whole duration of the project (**Budget Table B**); to be filled out in Euros only;
- The detailed total budget and requested funding for each PI/research team per year (**Budget Table A**); to be filled out in Euros.

This information should wherever possible be presented in one file. Please note that some countries have specific national budget formats to be used in addition to Budget Table A.

6.3. Assessment procedure

All aspects of the proposals will be **assessed against all the evaluation criteria** and applicants should ensure that the information in the Description of Work addresses all the requirements under each of the criteria (see *6.4 Evaluation criteria*).

The assessment of proposals will be undertaken by an Independent International Assessment Panel³ with expertise in fields of research relevant to the proposals. Each proposal will be assessed by a principal assessor and a second assessor. After the remote

³ When assessing the full proposals the Independent International Assessment Panel will adhere to rules of good research practice as outlined in the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (<http://www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/ALLEAEuropean-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017-1.pdf>). The principles of integrity include, among others, fairness in reviewing, evaluating, impartiality and independence.

evaluation of proposals, the panel will meet to discuss and decide on a final score for each proposal, which will determine a ranking list.

The JPI CH CHSE Management Group will make the actual funding decision based on the recommendations and ranking list determined by the Independent International Assessment Panel, taking into account the available national funding agency budgets.

The JPI CH CHSE Management Group will ensure the fair and equitable nature of the evaluation and selection process and its compliance with the JPI CH CHSE call and JPI guidelines. The funding recommendations of the Independent International Assessment Panel will be subject to approval by the funding agencies.

6.4.Evaluation Criteria

Eligible proposals will be assessed against the following, European Commission supported, evaluation criteria:

Criterion		Threshold/Score
Research excellence – the quality of the transnational project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sound concept, and quality of research questions and objectives; • Fit to the aims and topics of JPICH CHSE call; • The extent to which the proposed work is ambitious, novel, and goes beyond the state-of-the-art; • Quality and effectiveness of the research, methodology and interdisciplinary approach, and associated work plan. 	3/5
Potential impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential outcome(s) and impact of the proposed research and innovation; • Including, if applicable: likelihood that the outputs and outcomes will be useful for researchers, non-academic stakeholders and society, including SMEs, heritage owners, public administrations, research partners and/or local communities; • Appropriateness of measures for the dissemination, communication and/or exploitation of JPICH CHSE call project results, and management of intellectual property. 	3/5
Quality and efficiency of the implementation and the management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures; • Relevant expertise and experience of the individual participants in relation to their personal career paths (including indications of competency for coordinating/conducting research across national boundaries and if applicable for realizing societal impact); 	3/5

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, balance between disciplines, level of integration and collaboration), including other stakeholders; • Appropriate allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (budget, staff and equipment). 	
--	--	--

Only proposals passing the threshold of 3 for each of the evaluation criteria and scoring a minimum of 12 out of 15 points in total can be considered eligible for funding.

6.5. Beneficiary withdrawal

Once a project has been selected and financing commences, a project is considered a JPI CH CHSE call project. In case a beneficiary withdraws from a project, the remaining beneficiaries will be requested to submit a revised research plan, timeline, expected outputs/outcomes, and budget. The original pre-assessors of the Independent International Assessment Panel will be asked to assess these, in order to determine whether or not the project can remain a JPI CH CHSE call project, or otherwise will be considered a multi-/bi-lateral one.

6.6. Extensions

In case beneficiaries want to request an extension of the 36-month period from their funding agency, they should first contact their Project Leader in order to ensure that the project is ready for an extended end date. The relevant funding agencies will be responsible for approving extensions to this period in accordance with their (national) regulations. In doing so, the end date of the entire project will be extended to the last date a beneficiary is working on the project. Extension for a beneficiary in one country bears no impact on the financial relationship between the beneficiaries in the other countries in a project.

7. Additional Information

7.1. Data Management Plan

No general Data Management Plan is required per proposal. Nevertheless most funding organizations ask for one from their own PLs/PIs; please read the National Eligibility Requirements for each country (Annex A).

7.2. Open Access Publishing

Recipients of a JPI CH CHSE call grant must deposit an electronic copy of each of the published version(s) or final manuscript(s) accepted for publication of a research publication relating to project results published before or after the JPI CH CHSE call grant final report in an institutional or subject-based repository at the moment of publication. JPI CH CHSE call grant recipients are required to make their best efforts to ensure that electronic copies become freely and electronically available to anyone through this repository:

1. Either immediately if a research publication is published 'open access', i.e. if an electronic version is also available free of charge via the publisher;
2. Or within six months of publication.

Additional conditions might apply according to the Terms and conditions of the various funders. For instance, ANR (France) requests that beneficiaries make some of their scientific publications available on the HAL repository.

7.3.San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment

The [San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment \(DORA\)](#) is a global initiative to improve the assessment of research and researchers. The declaration reads: scientific and scholarly research produces a wide variety of outputs, such as articles that include new knowledge, data, and software; intellectual property; and highly trained and skilled researchers. Funding agencies, institutions that employ researchers, and researchers themselves all benefit from being able to assess the quality and impact of scientific and scholarly research. It is therefore imperative that the output of research is assessed accurately and in a sensible manner.

DORA is primarily focused on excluding metrics that are focused on journals and publishers.

In embracing DORA, the funding agencies supporting the JPI CH CHSE call acknowledge there is not one ideal type of researcher and that talent can mean a broad range of aspects in the context of scientific or scholarly research. In the assessment of applications it is therefore of importance to consider to what extent the talents of applicants are relevant and fitting to the criteria of the JPI CH CHSE call and how the talents can be best operationalized in the proposed project in the context of the aims of the call.

In the JPI CH CHSE call the supporting funding agencies only accept assessment focused on quality and context. In CVs of PLs/PIs the quantity of outputs is therefore limited. Applicants are invited to select and motivate the output(s) most relevant for the project, academic profile(s), fields of research and/or society. This limitation enables the members of the Independent International Assessment Panel to assess the quality of the output(s).

The JPI CH CHSE call application form provides more space for motivation, in order for applicants to contextualize their results, outputs, outcomes, achievements and explanation. This could be: what has the applicant contributed to the listed output? Why is a selected outcome of importance? What innovation was showcased in the CV? Which impact has the selected work had on the field of research or society? What qualitative or quantitative indicators compellingly show the quality of the work or the researcher? Under which conditions have the researchers delivered their work and to what extent have the researchers used the chances they were offered within or surpassing the existing limits?

7.4.Dissemination and Knowledge Transfer/Exchange

Knowledge transfer and exchange activities are a crucial dimension to any proposed research project. In addition to the networking that takes place among academic partners and broader dissemination activities aimed at wider academic audiences, projects should also develop links with stakeholders outside academia in order to maximise the societal benefit of the research. For example, collaborations may include policy makers and practitioners, business, the public sector including agencies dealing with societal challenges such as climate change, safety and equity, NGOs, voluntary, community and charitable organizations, the creative, cultural and heritage sectors, broadcasters, museums and

galleries. Collaborations should be meaningful for all partners involved and enable joint learning throughout the duration of the project and beyond. Public engagement activities may also be included, where appropriate, to promote a wide understanding of the nature and impact of the research. Participation at an annual JPI CH event, at which research funded by the JPI CH is presented and synergies are sought, is expected and should be included in the description of work of the project.

7.5. Annual Reporting and Follow Up

Granted projects will report annually on the progress (research, impact and financial summary) according to a mandatory template provided by the JPI CH CHSE Joint Call Secretariat. A review of the progress will be organized by the Joint Call Secretariat and results will be reported to the project leaders. This integrated reporting on a project level does not replace any obligations in terms of reporting to the national funders by the beneficiaries, unless specified otherwise.

Annex A – National eligibility criteria

- **Belgium**

Funding organization	Belgian Science Policy Office (BELSPO)
National contact	Helena CALVO DEL CASTILLO, helena.calvo@belspo.be , +32 2 238 36 15
Eligible institutions	<p>Royal Museums for Art and History</p> <p>Royal Museums of Fine Arts of Belgium</p> <p>Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage</p> <p>State Archives of Belgium</p> <p>Royal Library of Belgium</p> <p>War Heritage Institute</p> <p>Royal Museum for Central Africa</p> <p>Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences</p> <p>Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy</p> <p>Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium</p> <p>Royal Observatory of Belgium and the Planetarium</p> <p>INCC</p> <p>Sciensano</p>
Eligible applicants	The Project Leader (PL) and the Principal Investigators (PI) must be mandated by one of the eligible institutions to execute the project in its name.
Eligible costs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Employment costs (cfr. Staff costs in BRAIN-be 2.0 with the following exception: no tax-free contracts are allowed). 2. Equipment (cfr. Equipment costs in BRAIN-be 2.0) 3. Travel and Meeting Costs (cfr. Operation costs in BRAIN-be 2.0) 4. Consumables (cfr. Operation costs in BRAIN-be 2.0) 5. Dissemination and Knowledge Exchange Costs (cfr. Operation costs in BRAIN-be 2.0) 6. Other costs: Subcontracting (cfr. in BRAIN-be 2.0) 7. Overheads <p>Please check the different categories in the BRAIN-be Budget Rules.</p>
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from BELSPO is up to 250 000€, as stated in the Information File BRAIN-be 2.0 call 2022-2023, page 31

Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project proposal, the maximum BELSPO contribution can be up to 250 000€
Relevant national documents	For more general information about BELSPO conditions for funding, please read the BRAIN-be 2.0 Budget Rules .
Subject, relevance criteria	BELSPO will support the two topics of the call.
Additional rules and information	One project will be financed for a total of 250 000€ for the Belgian (BELSPO) partner.

- **Czech Republic**

Funding organization	Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MŠMT)
National contact	Mr. Daniel Hanspach; Daniel.Hanspach@msmt.cz ; +420 234 811 360
Eligible institutions	The participants from the Czech Republic in the projects' consortia must meet the criteria of research and knowledge-dissemination organisation (hereinafter referred to as the "research organisation") in accordance with the Framework for State Aid for Research and Development and Innovation (2014/C 198/03) . These might be public universities, public research institutes and/or another entities classified as research organisations.
Eligible applicants	---
Eligible costs	<p>Eligible costs for a Czech participant involved in a project consortium are defined by § 2 of the Act No. 130/2002 Coll. on Support of Research, Experimental Development and Innovation from Public Funds and on Amendment to Some Related Acts. The maximum indirect costs set for the present call are 25 % (flat rate) of direct costs without the subcontracting. The aid intensity for activities carried out by a research organisation might be at the level of 100 % provided that the research organisation complies entirely with requirements stipulated by the Article 2.1.1 "Public funding of non-economic activities" of the Framework for State Aid for Research and Development and Innovation (2014/C 198/03) and proves it by means of the above-mentioned Statutory Declaration.</p> <p>Should the above-stated criteria not be fulfilled by the Czech participant, funding rates will be adjusted appropriately by the</p>

	Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports and will reach the level of 100 % for fundamental/basic research activities, 50 % for applied research activities and 25 % for experimental development activities.
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	350 000 €
Maximum amount per proposal	---
Relevant national documents	Each Czech participant in a project consortium is requested to specify the costs related to the envisaged R&D activities in detail by using the Eligible Costs Specification template available on websites of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports: http://www.msmt.cz/vyzkum-a-vyvoj-2/spolecne-programovani-1-1 .
Subject, relevance criteria	---

- **France**

Funding organization	Agence Nationale de la Recherche https://anr.fr/
National contact	Benjamin Konnert Benjamin.konnert@anr.fr
Eligible institutions	Please consult the "règlement financier" https://anr.fr/fr/rf/
Eligible applicants	Please consult the "règlement financier" https://anr.fr/fr/rf/
Eligible costs	Please consult the "règlement financier" https://anr.fr/fr/rf/
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from France is up to 1,000,000 €
Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project proposal, the maximum ANR contribution can be up to 200,000 €
Relevant national documents	Please consult the « modalités de participation pour les partenaires sollicitant une aide de l'ANR » available on the JPI CH CHSE call dedicated webpage on the ANR website.

Subject, relevance criteria	Applicants can seek funding from either of the two JPI CH – CHSE call topics (cultural heritage and economic development; cultural heritage and sustainable strategies)
------------------------------------	---

- **Lithuania**

Funding organization	Lietuvos mokslo taryba (Research Council of Lithuania, LMT) http://www.lmt.lt
National contact	Kornelija Bacvinkienė kornelija.bacvinkiene@lmt.lt +370 676 14629
Eligible institutions	Lithuanian research and higher education institution which is included in the Register of Education and Research institutions and creates conditions for the project implementers for the implementation of the project, managing the state budget funds allocated to the project following the procedures stated in the legal acts, as well as representing the project partners (if applicable). The implementing institution, may also be the academy of sciences mentioned in the Law on Research and Higher Education of the Republic of Lithuania, or a national, state, or county public library, a state archive, a national or republican museum.
Eligible applicants	The applicant who intends to act as a project leader (PL) or principal investigator (PI) has to be a scientist (researcher holding at least a Ph.D. degree). A person may submit only one proposal for the same call as a PL, PI or other primary project implementer.
Eligible costs	Only costs generated during the lifetime of the project, related to project are eligible: personnel, travel, consumables, subcontracts, equipment, dissemination of results, overheads (up to 30% of personnel and subcontracting costs). □ More information .
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from Lithuania is up to € 400 000.
Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project proposal, the maximum Lithuanian contribution can be up to € 150-200K (up to € 150K for consortium partner or up to € 200K for coordinator) □.
Relevant national documents	For more general information about Lithuanian conditions for funding, please read: https://www.e-tar.lt/portal/lt/legalAct/0a8bead0577611e9975f9c35aedfe438/asr and the call text in Lithuanian.

Subject, relevance criteria	Applicants can seek funding from either of the two JPI CH – CHSE call topics (Cultural heritage and economic development; Cultural heritage and sustainable strategies).
------------------------------------	--

• **Norway**

Funding organization	The Research Council of Norway (forskingsradet.no)
National contact	Eli Ragna Tærum, RCN, e-mail: et@rcn.no Tonte Hegard, Ministry of Climate and Environment, e-mail: Tonte.Hegard@kld.dep.no
Eligible institutions	The call is open to approved Norwegian research organisations. See more about general application requirements: General application requirements (forskingsradet.no)
Eligible applicants	For Norwegian partner: The Project Leader (PL) or the Principle Investigators (PI) must have completed a doctoral degree or have corresponding qualifications.
Eligible costs	Based on the Application type Collaborative Research Project relevant project expenses are personnel costs, one or more grants/fellowships, running costs and dissemination costs. See more about payroll and indirect expenses, rates for fellowship grants: Budget (forskingsradet.no)
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from Norway is up to 0,75 Mio. €
Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project, the maximum Norwegian contribution can be up to € 300,000 if Project Leader or € 250,000 if Principal Investigator
Relevant national documents	For more general information about Norwegian conditions for funding, please read: Funding from the Research Council (forskingsradet.no)
Subject, relevance criteria	Norway will support applications from both themes in the "Cultural Heritage, Society and Ethics" call, with the following limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Projects must have their main focus on tangible cultural heritage (kulturminner og kulturmiljøer), including their associated intangible cultural heritage aspects when relevant <p>Projects with a main focus on museums and/or where the sources</p>

	are mainly based on museum collections will not be supported
Additional rules and information	The Research Council of Norway is financing the Norwegian participation in this call with funds from the Ministry of Climate and Environment.

- **Spain**

Funding organization	Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI)
National contact	Juan Climent Blasco jpich@aei.gob.es +34 916037242
Eligible institutions	<p>The eligible entities for the AEI funding are:</p> <p>Non-profit research organizations (such as universities, public research institutions, technological centres and other private non-profit institutions performing RDI activities in Spain), as per PCI 2020-1 call. They must have been previously beneficiaries of any of the AEI calls. They have to ensure contractual relationship with the Principal Investigator during all the time of development of the project.</p> <p>Although private companies are not funded by the AEI, the Spanish industrial sector is welcome to participate in the transnational consortia using their own funds or obtaining funds from the CDTI or other innovation and technological development funding agencies.</p>
Eligible applicants	<p>The Spanish Principal Investigators (PIs) must hold a PhD degree. It applies to all the members of the research team.</p> <p>PIs must be eligible according to PCI 2021 call and must have experience as investigators (not necessarily as PIs) in projects funded by the Plan Nacional I+D+i 2008-2011, the Plan Estatal I+D+i 2013-2016, the Plan Estatal I+D+i 2017-2020, ERC Grants, European Framework Programmes or other relevant international programmes.</p> <p>Incompatibilities (these must be taken into account when participating in different ERA-Nets or other international initiatives):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PIs will not be eligible for funding if they apply as PIs to more than one proposal in this transnational joint call, to more than one proposal in the same Spanish PCI call and/or to PCI calls of consecutive years. • If the same PI submits two or more proposals to the present call, all but one will be declared ineligible, without the possibility of changing the IP.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A researcher granted a PCI as PI the previous year will be declared ineligible as PI in the present call, without the possibility of changing the IP. • PIs must remain unchanged between the proposal of this transnational joint call and the national PCI call. <p>The AEI will avoid double funding and will not grant projects or parts of projects already funded through other national or EU calls.</p> <p>Failure to respect the requirements will lead to the ineligibility of the proposal.</p>
Eligible costs	<p>What types of costs are eligible for funding?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only personnel costs for new temporary employment contracts are eligible. The costs of permanent staff linked to the beneficiary entity or members of the research team will not be considered eligible costs. • Direct costs such as current costs, small scientific equipment, disposable materials, travelling expenses, coordination costs, and other costs that can be justified as necessary to carry out the proposed activities. VAT could be non eligible, depending on the application of RRF funds. • Indirect costs (overheads) are eligible costs (maximum 15% of direct costs, including outsourcing). • Subcontracting should not exceed 25% of total final budget (excluding overheads).
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from (name country) is up to 500.000 €
Maximum amount per proposal	<p>Upper funding limits for eligible costs?</p> <p>The following funding limits (including direct + indirect costs) are considered eligibility criteria. Proposals not respecting these limits could be declared ineligible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the Consortium is NOT LED by a Spanish Coordinator and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there is only one Spanish Partner in the proposal: € 175.000. • If the Consortium IS LED by a Spanish Coordinator and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there is only one Spanish Partner in the proposal acting as Coordinator: € 225.000 <p>The final funding will take into account the transnational evaluation of the collaborative proposal, the scientific quality of the Spanish group, the added value of the international collaboration, and the financial resources available.</p>

	Additional national eligibility criteria for the proposal beyond the general criteria in the Terms of Reference of the Joint Transnational Call
Relevant national documents	For more general information about (Name partner) conditions for funding, please read: link if possible
Subject, relevance criteria	AEI will support all topics in the call.
Additional rules and information	<p>According to the RRF Regulation, project proposals should ensure that the plan complies with the 'do no significant harm' principle (DNSH)</p> <p>It is important to include the PI's full name and identification number as they appear in the DNI at the beginning of the proposal as well as the full name of the institution in original language including the CIF.</p> <p>Funding Programme:</p> <p>The framework for this funding action is the <i>Plan Estatal de Investigación Científica, Técnica e Innovación 2021-2023</i>. On a national level, the Call will be managed by the <i>Subdivisión de Programas Científico-Técnicos Transversales, Fortalecimiento y Excelencia</i> (STRAN) of the AEI.</p> <p>Instrument for funding the Spanish groups</p> <p>The instrument for funding the Spanish groups is the Spanish call on International Collaboration Projects (PCI)".</p> <p>The requirements of PCI 2021 will apply. Applicants are encouraged to carefully read the call.</p> <p>Acknowledgement:</p> <p>Any publication or dissemination activity resulting from the granted projects <u>must</u> acknowledge funding by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación: "Project (reference nº XX) funded by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación through the PCI (year) call".</p> <p>Data Protection:</p> <p>By submitting a grant application to the AEI, the applicants consent to communication of the data contained in the application to other public administrations, with the aim of further processing of the data for historical, statistical or scientific purposes, within the framework of the Organic Law 3/2018, of December 5, on Personal Data Protection and Guarantee of Digital Rights.</p>

- **The Kingdom of the Netherlands**

Funding organization	Dutch Research Council (NWO)
National contact	Ninja Rijnks-Kleikamp jpi-ch@nwo.nl +316 23201960
Eligible institutions	Article 1.1 of the NWO Grant Rules 2017 (version January 2019) states from which Knowledge Institutes an application for funding by NWO can be submitted. Please note that NWO requires a letter of approval from the intended host institute (see below).
Eligible applicants	Full, associate and assistant professors and other researchers with a comparable position in the Social Sciences or Humanities who are employed at universities established in The Kingdom of the Netherlands, or NWO and KNAW institutes may participate in a JPI CH CHSE research team as Project Leader or as Principal Investigator.
Eligible costs	<p>The budget is built up using the NWO-wide standardized building blocks, the so-called budget modules. The budget modules (including the maximum amounts) that are available for applicants applying at NWO are stated below. Apply only for funding that is vital to realise the project.</p> <p>Budget module Information for this call:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Postdoc: Position(s) according to VSNU or NFU rates* • Non-scientific staff (NSS) at universities: maximum amount €100,000, non-scientific staff at (NSS) universities, in combination with postdoc(s)* • Other Scientific personnel (OSS) at universities: maximum amount €100,000, in combination with postdoc(s)* • Research leave: maximum 5 months, 1 FTE, according to VSNU or NFU rates* • Material costs: maximum amount €15,000 per year per FTE scientific position (postdoc) • Knowledge utilization: maximum amount €25,000 • Internationalisation: maximum amount €25,000 <p>* For personnel outside the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the local rates are reimbursed up to a maximum equal to the VSNU rates.</p> <p>Please consult the addendum of the budget modules on the dedicated NWO programma page for more information.</p> <p>Please note: the new VSNU salary tables, which take effect from July 2022, will be made available shortly after 1 July 2022. When building your requested budget from NWO for the full proposal please ensure you have used the updated VSNU salary tables after</p>

	that date (https://www.nwo.nl/en/salary-tables).
Non-eligible costs	Note that in accordance with the NWO-VSNU agreement costs for consumables, i.e. computers, laptops, standard software and other costs that belong to the standard infrastructure of universities, research institutes, studios or labs (e.g. accommodation, books) are not eligible for funding. General costs for project management and coordination are also not eligible for funding. Overhead costs are not eligible. Costs of Associate Partners (APs) are not eligible for funding under this call as well.
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Available budget from NWO is up to € 500,000.
Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project proposal, the maximum NWO contribution can be up to € 250,000.
Relevant national documents	NWO requests a specific letter of approval from the host institution per Project Leader (PL) or Principal Investigator (PI) at stage 2 as a national requirement. NWO will send the template of this letter to all the Dutch PLs and PIs who are involved in an eligible pre-proposal (in June 2022). The letter needs to be filled together with the full proposal at stage 2.
Subject, relevance criteria	Applicants can seek funding from either of the two JPI CH – CHSE call topics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. cultural heritage and economic development 2. cultural heritage and sustainable strategies
Additional rules and information	Information about lodging an objection at NWO is available on our website: https://www.nwo.nl/en/lodging-objection

- **United Kingdom**

Funding organization	Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC UKRI)
National contact	David Selway, HHLL@AHRC.UKRI.org
Eligible institutions	Universities (HEIs), UKRI recognised Research Institutes and Independent Research Organisations (https://www.ukri.org/apply-for-funding/before-you-apply/check-if-you-are-eligible-for-research-and-innovation-funding/who-can-apply-for-funding/#contents-list).
Eligible applicants	The Principal Investigator must be of postdoctoral standing and be actively engaged in postdoctoral research (i.e. you must either have

	a doctorate or an equivalent level of research experience and/or training).
Eligible costs	<p>Please consult the AHRC Funding Guide for full details of eligible costs.</p> <p>We will provide funding for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principal investigator's time (salary and associated overhead costs) • Co-investigators' time (salary and associated overhead costs) • International co-investigators costs. • Research assistant (salary and associated overhead costs) • A small amount of costs relating to collaborating organisations where expertise or knowledge is required (any organisation can be named as a 'collaborating organisation' but their contribution to the project must be fully explained within the application and all costs must be justified) • Travel and subsistence costs. <p>Other costs that are directly incurred relating to the proposed research.</p>
Anticipated amount of funding for this call	Total available budget from the United Kingdom is up to €1,000,000
Maximum amount per proposal	Within a single project proposal, the maximum United Kingdom contribution can be up to €250,000 (fEC)
Relevant national documents	For more general information about AHRC conditions for funding, please consult the AHRC funding guide .
Subject, relevance criteria	AHRC will support all topics in the call. Full details of our remit can be found in the AHRC funding guide .
Additional rules and information	N/A