

Call for Proposals « MNP »
Evaluation Form

« Acronym_project » - « Principal_Investigator » - « Project_ID »

MARKING

5 : Excellent - 4 : Good - 3 : Fair - 2 : Poor - 1 : Very poor
Comments must be provided in English. The fields marked with (*) are mandatory for submission

EVALUATION

1- Scientific and technical excellence of the proposal

The extent to which the proposal addresses the objective of the program
The extent to which the proposal contributes to significant progress beyond the state of the art

Score (*) :

Comment (500 characters max.) :

2- Innovation / Global impact of the proposal

The extent to which the proposal is innovative and open new scientific and technical perspectives
Real impact of expected results on basic knowledge, on industrial applications and on health care

Score (*) :

Comment (1000 characters max.) :

**3- Quality of experimental design and approach /
Mobilisation of resources / Project management**

The extent to which the proposed methodology is able to fulfil the objectives of the project
Feasibility of the project during the funding period. Adequacy of the requested funding to the proposal work

Score (*) :

Comment (3000 characters max.) :

4- Quality of the consortium

The extent to which the participants are well-suited to the tasks assigned to them.
The extent to which the participants are complementary (if accurate)

Score (*) :

Comment (500 characters max.) :

GLOBAL EVALUATION

Score (*) :

A: to be funded ; B: to be discussed; C: to be rejected

Rank of this project among all the projects you had to evaluate (from 1 being the best, without equal position):
Number of the projects you had to evaluate:

OVERALL COMMENTS (*)

Main strengths and weaknesses of the project, recommendations for its improvement

(10 lines min., 3000 characters max.) :