



Call for Proposals « BiotecS 2009 » Evaluation Form

« Acronym_project » - « Principal_Investigator » - « Project_ID »

MARKING

5 : Excellent - 4 : Good - 3 : Fair - 2 : Poor - 1 : Very poor

Comments must be provided in English. The fields marked with (*) are mandatory for submission

Evaluation

1) Relevance of the proposal towards the aim of the call

The extent to which the proposal addresses the objective of the program :

- *Does the proposal match with the thematic axis of the program?*
- *Does the proposal match with the program's recommendations?*
- *Existence of first results justifying the chosen strategy and the feasibility of the project*

SCORE (*) :

Comments (500 characters max.):

2) Scientific and technical excellence of the proposal / methodology, quality of the project organization

- *The extent to which the proposal is innovative*
- *The scientific and technical excellence beyond the state of the art*
- *The quality of the strategic analysis, in particular the evaluation of market needs and competition*
- *The quality of project structure, its critical path, milestones, deliverables, the proposed schedule*
- *The quality of the risk assessment and back-up solutions*
- *If relevant, to what extent ethical and regulatory aspects are taken into account*

SCORE (*) :

Comments (500 characters max.):

3) Global impact of the proposal

To what extent the project will contribute to:

- generation of new products, technical solutions or innovative scientific concepts
- answer a market need or bring a societal improvement
- economical or industrial or societal impact (human health, environment, ...)
- increased competitiveness

In addition, to what extent:

- the project can expect a return on investment (scale, time-frame, probability)
- the project has a favourable benefice/risk balance

Score (*) :

Comments (3000 characters max.):

4) Quality of the consortium – adequacy project/resources

- The scientific quality and the technical expertise of the partners
- the adequacy of the expertise and the tasks to be fulfilled by each partner
- the complementarities of the partnership
- the implication of the coordinating company and the place of the project in the overall project of the company
- relevance and justification of the requested funding toward tasks and objectives
- justification of requested human resources (total and additional)
- relevance of the sharing of tasks and requested budget between the partners

Score (*) :

Comments (3000 characters max.):

Global evaluation

Score (*) : - A: to be funded ; B: to be discussed; C: to be rejected

Rank : Rank of this project among all the projects you had to evaluate (from 1 being the best, without equal position) :

OVERALL COMMENTS (*) : *(10 lines min., 3000 characters max.) :*

Main strengths and weaknesses of the project, recommendations for its improvement